

Evaluation of Satellite Rainfall Products for Streamflow Simulation and Prediction of Vector–Borne Diseases

Menberu M. Bitew and Mekonnen Gebremichael Civil & Environmental Engineering Department; University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT 06269-2037, USA

I. Hydrological Application

a) Research questions • How good are satellite rainfall estimates for streamflow simulations? How does this depend on watershed area and hydrologic model complexity?



e) Performance relative to CMORPH rainfall • TMPA 3B42RT • TMPA 3B42 PERSIANN Days Bias Conditional Bias Ratio 3ias Rainy J Elevation, m Elevation, m Elevation, m Bias Ratio: # of Rainy days Bias Conditional Bias= # of Rainy days (Satellite) – # of Rainy days (CMORPH) Mean Rainfall (Satellite) Mean Rainfall (CMORPH) Mean Positive Rainfall (Satellite) Mean Positive Rainfall (CMORPH) f) Hydrologic simulations (performance relative to streamflow) Area **Gilgel Abay Blue Nile** 400 200 300 8000 Increasing HBV 200 100 6000 4000 200 Streamflow, Som **MIKE SHE** 8000 300 6000 4000 2000 0] ò j Ò j ò J Á Rainfall Inputs: — TMPA 3B42RT — TMPA 3B42 — CMORPH — Observed Streamflow - Rain guage – PERSIANN



• The maximum air temperature (from weather station) has a positive correlation with the number of patients at lag 0. The correlation decreases for lag 1 month and is no longer statistically significant.

• The monthly average land surface temperature derived from the MOD 11 MODIS product yields similar relationship with the number of patients.

-0.

-0.2

Acknowledgement: This research was supported by NASA grant NNX10AG776.